

# Sample-to-population inference progressions across senior curriculum

**SAMPLE-TO-POPULATION INFERENCE:** The process of drawing conclusions about *population parameters* based on a *sample* taken from the *population*.

Standard	91035 (1.10): Multivariate data	91264 (2.9): Use statistical methods to make an inference	91582 (3.10): Use statistical methods to make a formal inference
CL	Level 5 & Level 6	Level 7	Level 8
Ideal statements (MERIT-ish)	<b>Problem</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comparison question clearly including                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable that is being examined (<i>height in cm</i>)</li> <li>Groups* that are being compared (<i>Year 11 boys and Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Population that inferences are being made about (<i>New Zealand Year 11 boys and New Zealand Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Direction of comparison (<i>boys tend to be taller than girls</i>)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>*Be careful that groups being selected to compare have a large enough sample size to be able to meaningfully complete the analysis and conclusion (ie groups should be approx. <math>n &gt; 20</math>)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comparison question clearly including                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable that is being examined (<i>height in cm</i>)</li> <li>Groups that are being compared (<i>Year 11 boys and Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Population that inferences are being made about (<i>New Zealand Year 11 boys and New Zealand Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Statistic (<i>median height</i>)</li> <li>Direction of comparison (<i>median height of boys is greater than the median height of girls</i>)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Prediction of what students expect to see in their analysis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research into background of context to give purpose to the investigation</li> <li>Comparison question clearly including                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable that is being examined (<i>height in cm</i>)</li> <li>Groups that are being compared (<i>Year 11 boys and Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Population that inferences are being made about (<i>New Zealand Year 11 boys and New Zealand Year 11 girls</i>)</li> <li>Statistic (<i>DIFFERENCE in median heights between boys and girls (or means)</i>)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Prediction of what students expect to see in their analysis and why</li> </ul>
	<b>Plan</b> <p>Select variables to investigate from a given multivariate data set</p>	<p>Select random sample from a given population (containing multiple variables)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>sampling method</li> <li>sample size</li> </ul>	<p>Select variables to investigate from a given multivariate data set</p>
	<b>Data</b> <p>Data given</p>	<p>Collect according to plan</p>	<p>Data given Students may choose to re-categorise data as appropriate to investigation</p>
	<b>Analysis</b> <p>Selecting and using appropriate displays and summary statistics &amp; discussing sample distributions comparatively is expected across all levels. Statements should mention context (variable and groups – eg ...heights of these boys compared to ... heights of these girls), and evidence to support statements (generally this means numbers)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate summary statistics (5 number summary)</li> <li>Comparative descriptive statements of distributions – including overall picture, centres, shape, middle 50%, shift, overlap, spread (IQR), unusual or interesting features but note for distributional shape the discussion must be on the inferred population distributions.</li> <li>Comparative statements should include the context, i.e. variable, units, values, population</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dot plots – for each group</li> <li>Box plots – for each group</li> <li>Informal confidence interval shown on box plot, and provided</li> </ul> <p>Comparative statements will include comparison of centres (medians) Comparative statements should be making some contextual links back to the population (the “so what?” factor)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dot plots – for each group</li> <li>Box plots – for each group</li> <li>Plot of the re-sampled distribution &amp; formal confidence interval provided</li> </ul> <p>Comparative statements will include discussion about the difference in medians (or means) Comparative statements should be making some contextual links back to the population and initial research (the “so what?” factor)</p>
	<b>Conclusion &amp; Justification</b> <p>Conclusion should clearly cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informal inference</li> <li>Sample <math>\square</math> population link strong, with population clearly identified</li> <li>Should reflect investigative question</li> <li>Correct call (L5 or L6 call)</li> </ul>	<p>Conclusion should clearly cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpretation of informal confidence intervals                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample <math>\square</math> population link strong</li> <li>Some level of uncertainty evident (“pretty sure”)</li> <li>Population parameter identified (“population median height”)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Correct call, with justification                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should reflect investigative question</li> <li>Call based on whether intervals overlap or not</li> <li>Direction of evidence (if intervals do not overlap)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Some understanding of sampling variability For example                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Different random samples will give different statistics, and what impact this may have on making the call</li> <li>Impact on confidence intervals of changing sample size</li> </ul> </li> <li>Linking call back to the context and starting to think about what this means (the “so what?” factor)</li> </ul>	<p>Conclusion should clearly cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpretation of formal confidence interval                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample <math>\square</math> population link strong</li> <li>Some level of uncertainty evident (“pretty sure”)</li> <li>Population parameter identified</li> </ul> </li> <li>Correct call, with justification                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should reflect investigative question</li> <li>Call based on whether zero is contained within the interval or not</li> <li>Direction of evidence (if zero outside of interval)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Linking back to the context and using initial research to help explain what this means (the “so what?” factor)</li> </ul>
<b>Step DOWN to ACHIEVE</b>	<p>Contextual links not as evident Statements not supported/justified</p>		
<b>Step UP to EXCELLENCE</b>	<p>Statistical insight, further depth of thinking demonstrated with clear contextual links, greater understanding of sampling variability, and understanding of coverage of the confidence interval(s) in 2.9 &amp; 3.10, no errors demonstrated in understandings, interpretation or explanation of findings/data.</p>		